STABLE OPERATION OF HHG-SEEDED EUV-FEL AT THE SCSS TEST ACCELERATOR
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Abstract
We completed HHG (Higher-order Harmonic Generation) optical laser-seeded FEL (Free Electron Laser) operation at a 61.2-nm fundamental wavelength with an HHG pulse seeding source from a Ti:sapphire laser at the EUV (Extreme Ultraviolet) -FEL test accelerator. The HHG-seeded FEL scheme must synchronize the seeding laser pulse to the electron bunch. We constructed a relative arrival timing monitor based on EO (Electro-Optic) sampling. Since the EO-probe laser pulse was optically split from the HHG-driving laser pulse, the arrival time difference of the seeding laser pulse, with respect to the electron bunch, was measured in real time. This non-invasive EOS (EO Sampling) monitor made uninterrupted, single shot monitoring possible even during the seeded FEL operation. The EOS system was used for the arrival timing feedback with a hundred-femtosecond adjustability for continual operation of the HHG-seeded FEL. Using the EOS locking system, the HHG-seeded FEL was operated over half a day with a 20 – 30% effective hit rate. The output pulse energy was 20 μJ at the 61.2-nm wavelength. A user experiment was performed using the seeded EUV-FEL at SCSS, and a clear difference was observed between the SASE FEL and the seeded FEL with a high contrast.

INTRODUCTION
FEL (Free Electron Laser), which was proposed in the early 1970s, is one of the most promising coherent light sources with arbitrary wavelength [1]. Today, using SASE (Self-Amplified Spontaneous Emission) scheme, FEL is available in wide regions up to hard X-rays [2]. SCSS (SPRing-8 Compact SASE Source) [3], which is the prototype FEL machine at SPRing-8, was constructed for feasibility tests of new components to realize our FEL machine concept. This SASE FEL generated an EUV (Extreme Ultraviolet) pulse at wavelengths from 50 to 60 nm. This EUV-FEL contributed to a variety of user experiments, especially research on the resonance absorption of atomic or molecular lines in AMO (Atomic, Molecular, and Optical physics).

SASE starts from shot noise (spontaneous emission) and is amplified through electromagnetic interaction with a high-brightness electron bunch in a single pass (without an optical cavity). Based on this mechanism, the SASE pulse fluctuates in its spectrum due to the temporal multimode. Its spectrum fluctuates shot-to-shot, because of its shot-noise seeding source. The SASE characteristics are not reliable for AMO experiments that aim at a specific wavelength for resonance absorptions. To provide a spectrum with a targeting single peak on the demand of user experiments, a full-coherent seeding source is required instead of shot noise for FEL machines without an optical cavity in shorter wavelengths below the EUV region.

In the methodology, seeding schemes, which have been intensively developed worldwide, are roughly categorized into two kinds of approaches. One is called self-seeding, which utilizes a SASE pulse monochromized just after the first undulator section as the seeding source for the second undulator section by itself [4]. The self-seeding scheme is reliable for seeding in the hard X-ray region.

In the other scheme, an external laser pulse is prepared and directly used as a full-coherent seeding pulse. Up to now, an optical laser can be generated up to the water window region. However, the pulse energy is limited and insufficient for seeding in a shorter wavelength. To extend FEL seeding in a shorter wavelength, this seeding scheme is often combined with HGHG (High-Gain Harmonic Generation) [5]. In the user facility at FERMI (Elettra), the 3rd order harmonic pulse of a Ti:Sapphire laser is used as optical seeding and generates FEL seeded at its 13th harmonics with HGHG [6]. For our SCSS, we directly developed external seeding with HHG pulses at the SASE’s wavelength. These corresponding HHG’s orders of a Ti:Sapphire laser (800 nm) were prepared as follows: 13th (61.7 nm), 15th (53.3 nm), and 17th (47.1 nm).
In 2010, we achieved the first successful seeding at SCSS in the 13th and 15th harmonics [7]. However, the contrast ratio of the pulse energy of the seeded FEL pulses with respect to the SASE background noise was very low (~2). The pulse energy was 1.3 μJ, which is not sufficient for resonance experiments in AMO. In addition, the rate of the sufficient seeded FEL pulse was inadequate for user experiments. The seeding conditions varied in a short period. The best seeding conditions did not last more than ten minutes. Accordingly, the quality of our seeding condition was not reliable to be distributed for user experiments.

In this paper, we describe the improvement results of HHG-seeding quality at SCSS EUV-FEL. By applying a relative arrival timing monitor based on EOS (EO Sampling) for timing feedback, sufficient FEL pulses are seeded continuously with narrowband spectra.

**SETUP OF HHG DIRECT SEEDING**

In this section, we explain our HHG-seeded FEL setup (Fig. 1), which consists of accelerator that has a variety of beam monitors to check the overlapping conditions and an ultrafast optical laser source, which is the line-locked master trigger of the SCSS test accelerator.

In the direct seeding scheme with an external HHG laser pulse, maximizing the overlap between the seed laser pulse and the electron bunch is crucial in 6D phase space (x, x’, y, y’, t, E). Here, x and y are the horizontal and vertical centroid positions (sizes), x’ and y’ are their momenta (divergences), and t and E are the time and the photon energy (central wavelength).

Below, we describe the following technological issue: maintaining the best overlapping conditions between the 50-fs HHG pulse and the 600-fs electron bunch so that they last more than one day.

**SCSS Prototype Accelerator**

The SCSS test accelerator consists of a thermionic electron gun, RF bunch compressors (the lowest frequency of RF cavity: 238 MHz), a C-band main Linac, and in-vacuum undulators. The electron is generated at a pulsed thermionic electron gun with a CeB₆ single crystal cathode. The electron bunches are mainly compressed through a velocity bunching process in the RF bunch compressor cavities and accelerated by a C-band high-gradient Linac up to 250 MeV. At the end, the beam is injected into two in-vacuum undulators with 15-mm period lengths and 300 undulator periods. To suppress the SASE background during the seeding operation by raising the contrast ratio, we used only one undulator. The other important SCSS parameters concerning electron bunch for HHG-seeding operation were a 300-pC bunch charge and a ~200 μm (FWHM) transverse diameter (beam size).
at the entrance of the first undulator. The electron bunch length was stretched to ~600 fs (FWHM) to cover the timing jitter between the HHG pulse and the electron bunch. Note that seeding with a longer bunch length also contributes to suppress the level of SASE background.

Common Laser Source for HHG-driver and EO-probe Pulses

The external optical laser system, which used a driver of the HHG pulse and a probe pulse for the EOS, is based on a chirped pulsed amplification system of a Ti:Sapphire laser (800 nm, 150 fs, 30 Hz). The system consists of a mode-locked oscillator (FEMTOLASERS Produktions GmbH: SYNERGY modified for 238 MHz), which was synchronized to the 238-MHz master clock of the SCSS by feedback locking the cavity length, a regenerative amplifier, and a 4-pass amplifier. The output pulse energy was 100 mJ for the seeding operation. Finally, the pulse was split into HHG-driving laser and EO-probing pulses.

HHG-seeding Pulse System

The HHG-driving pulse with a pulse energy of 30 mJ was loosely focused by a plano-convex lens (focal length: 4 m) and delivered into the target chamber through a thin window. We set the focus around the entrance pinhole of an interaction cell that was filled with xenon gas. The target gas pressure was adjusted to balance the geometrical phase shift and the harmonic dipole phase. The HHG pulse was selectively reflected with SiC mirrors set at the Brewster angle (69°) to allow the fundamental Ti:Sapphire laser through. By introducing a pair of Pt-coated, nearly-normal-incidence mirrors with an 8-m curvature radius, the HHG pulses were loosely focused in the seeding region at the undulator.

After being reflected at the second SiC separator mirror, the HHG pulse was transported by a magnetic chicane into the undulator section. Since the SiC mirrors reflect EUV light above 30 nm, a few orders of HHG including the 13th are selected and sent to the undulator. The HHG-beam diameter at the entrance of the first undulator was ~500 μm (FWHM). The pulse energy of the 13th harmonic was estimated to be 2 nJ, which is measured downstream and calibrated by the spectrometer and the gas monitor detector at the end station. The total optical throughput of the HHG transportation was ~1% (HHG pulse was generated with ~200 nJ at the gas cell). The resulting peak power was estimated to be 40 kW in the seeding region, assuming a pulse duration of 50 fs (FWHM).

Measurement Overlap Between Electron Bunch and HHG Pulse

To optimize the best seeding conditions, the HHG pulses must overlap each other in the 6D phase space. Simultaneously, the HHG wavelength should match that of the SASE radiation. We need a beam diagnostic system to realize maximum 6D overlapping. To obtain higher FEL gain, both the HHG pulse and the electron bunch are compressed in the 6D volume as much as possible.

The spatial profiles of the seeding pulse and the electron bunch on a phosphor screen were measured by a MCP (multichannel plate) and a CCD camera at the entrance and the end of the first undulator. The system allows simultaneous monitoring of the spatial profiles of the HHG pulse and the optical transition radiation (OTR) from the electron beam to ensure spatial overlap while traveling in the first undulator. The mismatching transverse centroid position and the angle of the direction between the HHG pulses and the electron beams were suppressed into ranges less than 100 μm and 100 μrad by precisely steering the optical path of the HHG pulse independently using the two Pt-coated mirrors.

Temporal overlapping is also crucial for this seeding scheme that requires synchronization of both independent femtosecond-pulsed systems. We chose sequential tuning steps by combining coarse and fine adjustments. At first, the timing difference between the OTR of the electron bunch and the HHG-driving pulse was roughly measured with a streak camera (Hamamatsu Photonics K.K: FESCA-200). Then the timing difference was adjusted with an electrical delay unit of the Ti:Sapphire laser, although it was lowered a certain extent (typically <1 ps). In the second step, the timing was finely adjusted in real time to keep the peak wavelength of the EO signal at the best seeding condition with the feedback program to automatically lock the peak EO signal at the same wavelength.

Arrival Timing EOS Measurement and Feedback System

We applied the EOS technique as a relative arrival timing measurement in the manner of spectral decoding (Fig. 2). This scheme consists of a probing laser, an EO crystal, a polarizer, and a multi-channel spectrometer for real-time measurements. The EO crystal (ZnTe(110); 3 x 4 x 1 mm thick) was set next to the electron beam, and the EO-probe laser pulse passed through this EO crystal. The probe pulse is linearly chirped and works as a carrier wave. When the electron bunch passes near the EO crystal, the orthogonal polarization components of the
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![Figure 2: Principal of EO-sampling (EOS) measurement with spectral decoding. This probe pulse is linearly chirped and acts as a carrier wave. The EO crystal is set near the electron beam. The linear polarization of the carrier wave changes into an elliptic polarization in the EO crystal under the electron bunch's electric field. The information of the electron bunches is decoded bunch-by-bunch by spectrometer.](image-url)
EO-probe pulse are retarded by one against another (birefringence effect) through the crystal under the high electric field of the electron bunch. In this result, the electron bunch timing is encoded as the modulation of the polarization in the spectrum. Then the polarization modulation is converted to intensity modulation in the spectrum by the polarizer. Finally, the carrier wave is decoded by a multi-channel spectrometer in real time. Due to the linear chirp, the profile of the intensity spectrum is equivalent to the temporal laser pulse that corresponds one to one to the electron bunch charge distribution. Our results show that real-time measurement of arrival timing can be realized by monitoring the peak wavelength of the EO-signal’s spectrum. The relative timing drift is compensated with a feedback control.

In our EOS system, an EO-probe laser pulse is optically split from the HHG-driving laser at the accelerator tunnel. After splitting, the EO-probe pulse is primarily stretched with a linear chirp to 5 ps through a bulk stretcher made of high-index glass blocks as coarse tuning. Next, to shape the spectrum flattop and control the higher order of dispersion and the fine stretching factor, we applied an adaptive AO-modulator (FASTLITE: DAZZLER UHR-650-1100) as a fine adjustment tool.

The arrival timing of the electron bunch with respect to the HHG-driver laser pulse is decoded as the spectral peak of the EO signal that corresponds to the electron bunch in the encoding at the EO crystal. The EO signals are decoded by a multi-channel spectrometer (Ocean Optics: QE65000) in an intensity spectrum. The relative timing between the HHG pulse and the electron bunch is fixed at the optimal timing with an automatic feedback system.

Figure 3 shows the spectra of the EO signals. The black line is one of the best seeding conditions as a feedback target. The arrival timing drift appears as the shifting spectral peak position of the EO signals. As shown in Fig. 3, the EO signals in the red and blue lines are 1-ps later and earlier timings to the best timing for the seeding (black line). During seeding, the shift of the peak wavelength is calculated as the drifting time. The amount of timing drift was feedback to the timing delay unit of the Ti:Sapphire laser. During user experiments at SCSS, the seeded FEL operated continuously with EOS-feedback over a half day.

**EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND EVALUATION**

**Experimental Results of Seeded FEL Spectra**

Figure 4 compares the typical spectra of the seeded FEL pulse (red line) and SASE (blue line). The spectral bandwidth of the seeded FEL was 0.06 nm (FWHM). The spectra were single peaks at the peak wavelength with slight fluctuation. We qualitatively evaluated the success rate of the seeding from the fluctuation of the central wavelength and describe it in the following section.
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Effective Hit Rate

For a quantitative evaluation to select a successful seeded FEL pulses, we defined an “effective” hit rate. If the spectral peak intensities of the seeded FEL pulses are larger than four times the standard deviation of the peak SASE intensities, we define the events as an “effective” hit. Successful seeding is characterized with normalization to the standard deviation of the peak SASE intensities in the following formula:

\[ \frac{I_{\text{seed}} - I_{\text{SASE}}}{\sigma_{\text{SASE}}} > 4 \sigma_{\text{SASE}}, \]

where \( I_{\text{seed}} \) and \( I_{\text{SASE}} \) are the peak intensity of the seeded FEL with an effective hit and the averaged peak intensity of SASE, respectively, and \( \sigma_{\text{SASE}} \) is the root mean square of the fluctuation. In the case of our former experiment in 2010, according to this definition, the effective hit rate was calculated as 0.3%.

Figure 5 shows the correlation data plot between the normalized intensity and the central wavelength in the data of 10,000 shots with seeded FEL operation in 2012. In Fig. 5, the red points represent the seeded pulses with effective hits, which exceeded \( 4 \sigma_{\text{SASE}} \). The blue points, which are less than \( 4 \sigma_{\text{SASE}} \), are defined as the ineffective hits. The central wavelength of the seeded FEL (>\( 4 \sigma_{\text{SASE}} \)) is distributed from 61.5 to 62.0 nm. On the other hand, the central wavelength in the SASE-like region (blue points) is distributed over 2.5 nm. The standard deviation of the central wavelength is 0.08 nm for the seeded FEL pulse with effective hits (>\( 4 \sigma_{\text{SASE}} \)). This result resembles the value comparable to the spectral bandwidth of the seeded FEL pulses. Our definition of the effective hit rate is useful to judge the seeding quality in user experiments. For the 10,000 shot data in Fig. 5, the effective hit rate was 24%.

Improvement results of seeding condition with EOS feedback

The trend graphs of the peak intensity are shown in Fig. 6. The upper graph (6-a) is our former experimental result from 2010 [7]. In the experimental case, the pulse energy and the gain of the seeded FEL were limited to 1.3 \( \mu \)J and 650, respectively. The lower (6-b) is the result of the seeded FEL operation with feedback based on the EOS measurements. Due to the improvements of the reproducible adjustability and the constant seeding condition maintained with timing feedback, the pulse energy and the gain of the seeded FEL increased significantly to 20 \( \mu \)J and \( 10^4 \), respectively. In comparison with a) and b), the effective hit rate also increased significantly. In operation with the feedback system, the contrast ratio of the intensity between the seeded FEL and SASE is ~5 (peak to peak).

Note that the electron bunch length was increased from 300 to 600 fs from the 2012 seeding experiments to cover the timing jitter between the HHG pulse and the electron bunch. In 2010, we used a 300-fs long electron bunch for seeding. Note the comparison of the results between (a) and (b) in Fig. 6.
SUMMARY AND FUTURE WORK

We demonstrated HHG-seeded FEL with an EOS-based arrival timing monitor. With it, the seeded FEL performance significantly improved for user experiments. Without feedback from the EOS monitor, the continuous operation time of the seeded FEL was limited to less than ten minutes in 2010. Utilizing the feedback system in 2012, the seeded FEL could be operated over half a day. Consequently, operation for user experiments with the seeded FEL was realized at SCSS. Compared to our former seeding condition in 2010, the pulse energy and the FEL gain of the seeded FEL improved from 1.3 to 20 μJ to 10⁴ from 650 (15 times improvement). The effective hit rate was improved from 0.3% to 20-30% (improvements of two orders).

For a higher effective hit rate, we must improve the relative pointing stability in the transverse overlapping. To control the relative pointing, we are planning to install a shingle-shot 3D-BCD (Three-dimensional Bunch Charge Distribution) monitor that was developed at SPring-8 [8]. This monitor, which was developed as an extension of a spectral de-multiplexing EOS monitor, was set to multiple EO crystals around the electron beam axis to measure the higher order of the bunch charge moments as the difference of EO signals from the crystals.

In addition, we are planning to develop a shorter wavelength of the HHG-seeded FEL pulse to the soft X-ray region. To go further in the soft X-ray region, we have to improve the efficiencies of HHG and its transportation by more than ten times. Further compression of both the HHG-pulse and the electron bunch is required for higher FEL gain. With progress for shorter wavelengths, the temporal resolution of EOS and temporal response of EO crystal have to be improved to tens of femtoseconds (FWHM). For this purpose, we are developing a novel EOS system with an organic EO crystal DAST (4-dimethylamino-4’-methyl-stilbazoliumtosylate) with a temporal response of 30 fs (FWHM) [8].

Our other optional approach is a higher-order harmonic generation in a FEL gain. The higher-order harmonic generation is excited by an increase of bunching factors at higher harmonic frequencies while the fundamental FEL grows [9]. It is known and has been observed that not only odd but also even orders of harmonics are generated off the axis [10]. We demonstrated the 2nd harmonic generation at 30.8 nm in the seeded FEL at 61.5 nm as shown in Fig. 7. At 30.8 nm, the contrast ratio improved to 80. The spectrum has a single peak as a seeded FEL. We believe that it will be a useful light source for user experiments. This scheme is one of the promising approaches as a complementary scheme to provide a high-contrast monochromatic intense FEL pulse even in shorter wavelengths.

![Figure 7: Spectrum of second harmonic seeded FEL lased at 30.8 nm. Contrast ratio is significantly improved to 80 against SASE background.](image_url)
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