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OPTIMIZING THE RADIOISOTOPE PRODUCTION WITH A WEAK FOCUSING COMPACT CYCLOTRON

And simple...

Why weak focusing machines (again)?

E. Lawrence
Why a weak focusing machine?

- PET technique is broadly used around the world as a diagnostic tool and for treatment planning and follow-up.
- Cyclotrons are the most widely used accelerators for the production of positron emitter isotopes

**Technology requirements** for future radiopharmaceuticals production:

- To minimize building requirements:
  - cyclotron with the minimal weight/size
  - lowest possible energy to produce radioisotopes but reducing machine activation (shielding)
- To reduce cost of cyclotron (more adjustable to on-site needs)
- Low power consumption
- Possibility to produce new markers with short half-life ($^{11}$C, 20 min)
- ...

Compact (SC) cyclotron

AMIT cyclotron
**AMIT project: Advanced Molecular Imaging Technologies**

- Work supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation
- CDTI (Center for Industrial Technology development) funded project (CENIT CIN/1559/2009)
- Target: **brain pathologies, in particular, those derived from mental diseases.**
- In addition to other workpackages related with miniaturized radiopharmacy, PET instrumentation or advanced molecular software imaging, one of the goals is the development of a **compact minicyclotron for $^{11}$C and $^{18}$F single doses production**

- CIEMAT is the scientific leader of this cyclotron project
- Close collaboration with Spanish industry
- External collaboration as CERN

**SEDECAL:** responsible for the development of the prototype

**ANTEC:** Responsible for the electromagnetic part

**CIEMAT:** Responsible for the design, integration, tests and qualification.

**HGGM:** Support on the specifications and management

**Biomagune:** support on targets

**CNIO:** support on clinical and preclinical applications
AMIT as a weak focusing machine

- Compactness requirement → high magnetic field
  → 4 Tesla → Superconducting machine

Beam focusing in cyclotrons:
- In isochronous machines, focusing forces arise from the azimuthal magnetic field variation introduced by the “valley-hill” configuration
- In our 4T cyclotron, the magnetic field is so high that iron will be saturated and the magnetic field difference between valleys and hills is small, resulting in a small flutter
- Magnetic elements with higher saturation level will result in expensive machines
- Classical cyclotron becomes a good option for compact machine, given its simplicity
- In a classical cyclotron magnetic forces are only produced by the slightly decreasing radial magnetic field configuration
AMIT: weak focusing machine

- The orbital frequency decreases with radius:
  \[ \omega_{\text{particle}} = \frac{qB}{m} = \frac{qB(r, \theta)}{\gamma m_0} \]

- Fixed RF frequency \(\Rightarrow\) Non particle-RF isochronism
- RF frequency chosen to be equal to particle frequency in an intermediate radius \(r_{\text{sync}}\) \(\Rightarrow\) intermediate energy

\[ \omega_{\text{RF}} = \omega_{\text{particle}}(r_{\text{sync}}) = \frac{qB(r_{\text{sync}})}{m(r_{\text{sync}})} \]
Due to transverse stability, there is non RF-particle synchronism.

- The energy gain will be lower than the maximum one ($qV_{peak}$).
- The time that the particle can be accelerated is limited → limitation on the maximum energy at extraction in a classical cyclotron.
- $\omega_{RF}$ such as particle reaches $r_{synch}$ before being decelerated.
- Extraction before deceleration.
- To get the maximum output beam energy:
  - High accelerating voltages are required (low number of turns).
  - The field gradient of magnetic field has to be limited → worse axial stability → higher vacuum pipe or higher losses.
  - An optimum value for the RF frequency value should be carefully chosen.

**AMIT: weak focusing machine**

- $V$ in the gap for each turn
- $B$ in the gap for each turn
- Injection
- Isochronism $\omega_{RF} = \omega_{particle}$
- Extraction
- Perfect isochronism $\omega_{RF} = \omega_{particle}$
- Advance $\omega_{particle} > \omega_{RF}$
- Delay $\omega_{particle} < \omega_{RF}$

**Transverse stability**
- **Non isochronism**
- **Limited energy**
- **High voltage**
# AMIT cyclotron: main specifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>GENERAL</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cyclotron Type</td>
<td>Classical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>&gt;8.5 MeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>&gt;10 μA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>MAGNET</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Low Tc Superconductor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Configuration</td>
<td>Warm Iron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superconductor</td>
<td>NbTi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Field</td>
<td>4 T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>RF SYSTEM</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Configuration</td>
<td>One 180º Dee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Voltage</td>
<td>60 kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF frequency</td>
<td>~ 60 MHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>ION SOURCE</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Internal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ions</td>
<td>H⁻</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>EXTRACTION</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extraction</td>
<td>Stripping foil at 110 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target</td>
<td>Nitrogen gas (¹¹C), ¹⁸O enriched water (¹⁸F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position</td>
<td>External</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OPTIMIZING ISOTOPE PRODUCTION
IN CLASSICAL CYCLOTRON
Radioisotope production for a given target depends on:

- Incident beam current \( \rightarrow \) lineal dependence
- Incident particle energy \( \rightarrow \) strongly dependent

An increase of beam energy and/or current could give us more margin in the total radioisotope production and in the production time.

To maximize radioisotope production in the weak focusing AMIT cyclotron:

**Central region design:**
- To optimize phase acceptance resulting in a high transmitted beam current, taking into account the electric (de)focusing forces and the impact in the output energy
- Reduced dimensions due to high B

**RF frequency choice** to control synchronous phase
- Small synchronous phase \( \rightarrow \) higher energy but higher number of turns (vacuum stripping losses) and defocusing electric forces (axial losses)

**Stripping foil location**
- Higher radius results in higher energy but a little bit lower current due to higher stripping losses

\[
A = A_{sat}(E_{particle}) \cdot I_{particle}
\]
RADIOISOTOPE PRODUCTION

Maximizing E

- High peak voltages
- Initial phase acceptance close to peak
- Small ion source-puller gap

Maximizing I

\[ I_{\text{output}} = I_H^{\text{puller}} \cdot K_{\text{vac\_strip}} \cdot K_{\text{losses}} \cdot K_{\text{extraction}} \]

- Higher energy gain
- Larger phase excursion
- Higher extracted current & optimum phase acceptance

- Ion source-puller gap as small as possible to:
  - move the phase range with small energy spread close to the peak (90°) → higher beam current
  - to increase the electric field → higher current
  - stronger bunching in orbit centers and energy

- From ANSYS ↔ CYCLONE simulations: 6 mm
Maximizing E

- High peak voltages
- Higher energy gain
- Initial phase acceptance close to peak
- Larger phase excursion
- Small ion source-puller gap
- Higher extracted current
- Larger phase excursion
- Higher extracted current & optimum phase acceptance
- Ion source slit
- Optimum value to increase extracted current but reducing losses by stripping or axial losses

Maximizing I

\[ I_{\text{output}} = I_{H^{-}(\text{puller})} \cdot K_{\text{vac-strip}} \cdot K_{\text{losses}} \cdot K_{\text{extraction}} \]

\[ I_{H^{-}}(\tau_{i}) \propto \left( \frac{V_{\text{peak}} \sin \tau_{i}}{d_{IS\_puller}} \right)^{3/2} \]

Effect of increasing ion source slit height

- Effect of increase of particles
- Effect of reduction of vacuum level
- Both effects

Ion source slit ➔

Optimum 0.5 mm wide x 6 mm high
### Maximizing $E$

- High peak voltages
- Initial phase acceptance close to peak
- Small ion source-puller gap
- Phase excursion

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{2N_{\text{turns}}} \Delta E_{\text{gap}}^i
\]

### Maximizing $I$

- Higher energy gain
- Larger phase excursion
- Higher extracted current
- Optimum value to increase extracted current but reducing losses by stripping or axial losses
- Limited to avoid losses by vacuum stripping and by electric defocusing forces

\[
I_{\text{output}} = I_{H^-} (\text{puller}) \cdot K_{\text{vac strip}} \cdot K_{\text{losses}} \cdot K_{\text{extraction}}
\]

\[
I_{H^-}(\tau_i) \propto \left( \frac{V_{\text{peak}} \sin \tau_i}{d_{\text{IS-puller}}} \right)^{3/2}
\]
Maximizing $E$:
- High peak voltages
- Initial phase acceptance close to peak
- Small ion source-puller gap
- Ion source slit
- Phase excursion
- Field gradient

Maximizing $I$:
- Higher energy gain
- Larger phase excursion
- Higher extracted current
- Optimum value to increase extracted current but reducing losses by stripping or axial losses
- To maximize phase excursion
- Small to reduce phase shift → larger phase excursion
- Large for beam focusing

$2N_{\text{turns}} \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta E_{\text{gap}}} E_{i}$

$I_{\text{output}} = I_{H}^{-}(\text{puller}) \cdot K_{\text{vac strip}} \cdot K_{\text{losses}} \cdot K_{\text{extraction}}$

$I_{H}^{-}(\tau_{i}) \propto \left(\frac{V_{\text{peak}} \sin \tau_{i}}{d_{\text{IS-puller}}}ight)^{3/2}$

Relative Activity production

- Neglecting axial losses
- With axial losses

Field gradient (%)
LONGER FIRST HALF TURN: The ion source and puller are offset by about 40º from the Dee edge \(\rightarrow\) the first half turn is lengthened from the usual 180º to 220º \(\rightarrow\) particles are accelerated on the decreasing side of the Dee voltage after one half turn.

- High difficult related to the phase dependent effect and the long acceleration time with negative phases.

- Beam can not be matched to the optimum conditions.
- Interaction with plasma geometry: uncertainty about initial beam position.
- Particles should save the ion source \(\rightarrow\) limited size.
- Slit aperture: limited by electric defocusing forces and radial miscentering.

- Small orbit radius in first turns \(\rightarrow\) very compact design (mechanical effort).
- Puller should be located very close to the ion source to result in high energy gain and therefore get enough available space for the ion source.

- Required not only to result in high output beam energy, but also to increase the energy gain in the first turn \(\rightarrow\) available space for IS.
- As result of the high magnetic field and peak voltage, high electric fields will be obtained in the central region.
- Careful design of IS-puller gap.

- Small magnetic focusing forces. Electric focusing forces are very important at low energy and phase acceptance should be chosen to optimize them.
Cyclotron tuning: RF frequency and stripper location

Effects of beam tuning (RF frequency and stripper location) on the mean energy and relative current at and the impact on the relative radioisotope production

- **For a given RF frequency** (60.1 MHz) as the stripper is located at higher radius, the mean energy increases whereas the output beam current is slightly reduced by vacuum stripping losses, being the beam fully lost if we move to very large radius.
Cyclotron tuning: RF frequency and stripper location

- Output energy fixed by the SF location (only mean energy of particles arriving at target)
- Optimum RF frequency resulting in maximum radioisotope production
- For higher RF frequencies, synchronous point is reached very early → some particles do not achieve enough energy to get the SF
- For lower RF frequencies, synchronism occurs later → longer number of turns → higher stripping vacuum losses
- For much lower RF frequencies, some particles are decelerated before reaching the synchronous point
Conclusions

- Classical cyclotrons can be used as simple machines for compact cyclotrons producing radioisotopes.
- Main drawback: the high required peak voltage in combination with the high B.
- A careful design of the central region is needed to control electric field peaks and to achieve optimum initial beam conditions for the further acceleration.
- An optimum RF frequency-stripper location tuning should be performed to get the maximum energy-current resulting in the optimum radioisotope production.
- AMIT cyclotron is in the manufacturing phase.
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